| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/820,837 | SUN, WEIN-TOWN |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Jennifer Zubajlo | 2629 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u> | | |
| (1) <u>Jennifer Zubajlo</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Jiawei Huang</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 14 August 2007 | Time: <u>2:19pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: none | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner agreed to allow case if corrections are made to figures 1A and 1B. Figures 1A and 1B need to be labeled "Prior Art". Examiner and Agent agreed to an Examiner's Amendment to correct this and allow the claims | | |
| Part III. | • | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview | | |
| did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 1-1-1 | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |
| (pphoana | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | → / |